



Introduction

- Relational adjectives classify the nominal according to the sense provided by the adjective.
- (1) a. medical doctor
 - b. French invasion
 - c. electrical tape
- RAs that denote **social roles**.

(2)	a. presidential election	(4)	a. papal succession
	b. presidential mansion		b. papal authority

- (3) a. senatorial district (5) a. royal marriage b. senatorial career b. royal family
- Typically, parallelism between possessors and relational adjectives modifying deverbal nouns.
- (6) a. French invasion of Russia b. France's invasion of Russia
- The use of the nominal form of the adjective in a verbal predication allows an inference to the RA in some cases.
- (7) France invaded Russia \rightsquigarrow There was a French invasion of Russia
- Role-denoting RAs break this pattern. **No equivalence** between a possessor and an RA.
- (8) a. the president's visit (to his mother) b. a presidential visit (#to the president's mother)
- **No inference** generally allowed from the verbal predication to the relational adjective as well.
- (9) The president visited his mother \neq There was a presidential visit to the president's mother.
- Similar facts hold with other nominals, where modification by the RA relates to the office, while possessors relate to the individual holding the office.
- (10) a. the presidential desk b. the president's desk (his personal desk) (11) a. a presidential advisor
 - b. the president's advisor
- (a personal finance advisor)

Questions

• What is the semantic representation of relational adjectives?

• How do predications related to roles differ from predications with ordinary individuals?

The Lexical Semantics of Role-Denoting Relational Adjectives

Curt Anderson

DFG SFB 991, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf

Criticism of previous theories

• McNally & Boleda (2004): RAs are properties of Carlsonian kinds. Common nouns have kind-level arguments.

- a. $[architect] = \lambda x_k \lambda y_o [R(y_o, x_k) \land architect]$ (12)b. $[technical] = \lambda x_k [technical(x_k)]$
- [technical architect] =(13) $\lambda x_k \lambda y_o [R(y_o, x_k) \wedge \operatorname{architect}(x_k) \wedge \operatorname{technical}(x_k)]$

• Arsenijevic et al. (2014) extend this account to ethnic adjectives such as *French*. **Origin** relation asserts that the kind arises in the nation denoted by the adjective.

(14) [[French wine]] =
$$\lambda y_o \exists x_k \begin{bmatrix} R(y, x_k) \land \operatorname{wine}(x_k) \land \\ \operatorname{Origin}(x_k, \operatorname{France}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Predicts that RAs should be able to be used predicatively when their argument is a kind.

• Some RAs cannot be used predicatively even with kind-referring expressions, but others can be used predicatively even when their argument is not a kind.

- (15) *Doctors/a doctor can be medical. (cf. *medical doctor*)
- This university is public, but there are also some (16)private universities and colleges on the island. (Google)

• RAs show different relations adjective and noun, calling into question the strategy of specifying relational internally.

(17)	a.	neurological	clinic	(clinic for neurological p	roblems)
	b.	neurological	textbool	(textbook about ne	eurology)
	с.	neurological	conferen	e (conference for neur	cologists)

What's in a role?

• Ontology contains **role individuals**, sortally distinct from **concrete individuals** (compare de Swart et al. 2007). • Abstract individuals who act according to the duties, customs, et cetera of an institution. "Grounded" by concrete individuals. • Certain institutionalized activities and events entail the existence of a role individual for those events. • **Mapping functions** between institutional events, role individuals, and concrete individuals.

ROLE : events \rightarrow role individuals

• ROLE is a partial function from events to role individuals participating in those events.

IMPL : role individuals \rightarrow concrete individuals

• IMPL is a total function from role individuals to concrete individuals grounding those roles.

(18)

Decomposition of the adjective

• RAs are constructed from a role-denoting nominal.

• Example: presidential = president + -al

• Consider role denoting nouns such as *president* to include reference to an institutional activity or event.

• Morphological shift (*president* \rightarrow *presidency*) provides evidence for this event.

• *Presidency* is eventive: possible to make predications with respect to duration and location in time.

- a. Barack Obama's presidency lasted eight years.
- b. Because his presidency occurred between those of Grover Cleveland and Theodore **Roosevelt**, McKinley's accomplishments have often been overlooked. (Google)

• Lexically decompose the nominal core of role-denoting RAs to expose this event (lead event).

• Decomposition represented as a frame (Löbner, 2017).

• ROLE provides mapping from events to role individuals.

• IMPL maps role individuals to concrete individuals.

(19) $\llbracket the \ president \ (of \ France) \rrbracket =$

IMPL(ROLE(e)) = x $\iota x \exists e \mid \mathsf{lead}(e) \land \mathsf{THEME}(e) = \mathbf{France}$

• DPs such as *the president* usually have the concrete individual as their referent.

Analysis

• Modification by the RA links the modifier's decomposition with the modifiee's decomposition.

• When modifying eventive nouns (*presidential visit*), event in the modified noun is asserted to be a **subevent of the** institutional event.

(20) [presidential visit] = $IMPL(ROLE(e)) = x \land$ $\lambda e' \exists x \exists e$

• Representation does not entail that *president* must be the agent of the visiting event.

> Will NBA champions continue to visit the White House under Donald Trump? One of the first players to make the presidential visit gives his opinion. (Google)

• As event is subpart of the institutional event, inferred to also be "official" or role-related in some way, explaining the lack of inference to the RA:

(9) The president visited his mother \neq There was a presidential visit to the president's mother.

(22) $[presidential \ desk] =$ $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{IMPL}(\operatorname{ROLE}(e)) = x \land \operatorname{\mathsf{lead}}(e) \land \\ \operatorname{\mathsf{desk}}(y) \land \operatorname{POSSESSOR}(y) = \operatorname{ROLE}(e) & \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda y \exists x \exists e$

 $\lambda y \exists x \exists e \exists e'$

- nominal

• Arsenijevic, Boban, Gemma Boleda, Berit Gehrke & Louise McNally. 2014. Ethnic adjectives are proper adjectives. In Proceedings of CLS 46, 17-30.• Löbner, Sebastian. 2017. Frame theory with first-order comparators: Modeling the lexical meaning of punctual verbs of change with frames. In Helle Hvid Hansen, Sarah E. Murray, Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh & Henk Zeevat (eds.), Logic, language, and computation: 11th international Tbilisi symposium on logic, language, and computation, 98–117. Springer. McNally, Louise & Gemma Boleda. 2004. Relational adjectives as properties of kinds. In O. Bonami & P. Cabredo Hofherr (eds.), Empirical issues in formal syntax and semantics 5, 179–196. de Swart, Henriëtte, Yoad Winter & Joost Zwarts. 2007. Bare nominals and reference to capacities. Natural Language \mathfrak{E} Linguistic Theory 25(1). 195–222.



This research is funded by German Research Foundation (DFG) SFB 991, project C10, at Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf. I thank Sebastian Löbner, Wiebke Petersen, Willi Geuder, Ai Taniguchi, and Ekaterina Gabrovska for their input at various stages. • Web: www.sfb991.uni-duesseldorf.de/en/c10/ • Email: andersc@hhu.de



Analysis

• Divorcing the role individual from the concrete individual allows for predications involving the role individual.

• Inference that objects like *presidential desk* have an "official" or role-related nature are due to this.

• Examples such as *presidential advisor* also derive their role-related nature from this.

• Role individual participates as the theme of advising event in the decomposition of *advisor*.

(23) [presidential advisor] =

 $IMPL(ROLE(e)) = x \land \mathsf{lead}(e) \land$ $advise(e') \land AGENT(e') = y \land$ THEME(e') = ROLE(e)

Conclusion

• Modification by relational adjectives relate aspects of the lexical semantics of the adjective with that of the noun.

• Predications involving relational adjectives are more complex than simply kinds; they also involve roles and events.

• Lexical decomposition allows for a fuller understanding of how the meaning of the adjective interacts with that of the modified

• Although focused on *presidential*, strategy is generalizable to other role-denoting adjectives.

References

Acknowledgements and Contact